

## CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Steve Curtis
American Right to Life Action
1535 Grant Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

FEB 2 0 2009

**RE:** MUR 5987

Hillary Clinton for President Senator Hillary Clinton

Sir Elton John

Dear Mr. Curtis:

On February 12, 2009, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated March 31, 2008, and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, there is no reason to believe that Hillary Clinton for Senate and Shelly Moskwa, in her official capacity as treasurer, Senator Hillary Clinton and Sir Elton John violated 2 U.S.C. § 441e. Accordingly, on February 12, 2009, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analyses, which more fully explain the Commission's findings are enclosed.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Singerely,

Sid Rocke(

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analyses

1	
2 3	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
4	
5	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
6	
7 8	MUR: 5987, 5995 & 6015
9	
10	•
11	RESPONDENTS: Hillary Clinton for President and Shelly Moskwa,
12	in her official capacity as treasurer, and
13	Senator Hillary Clinton
14 15	
16	I. INTRODUCTION
17	
18	The complaints in these matters involve allegations that Hillary Clinton for
19	President and Shelly Moskwa, in her official capacity as treasurer, ("the Committee"),
20	and Senator Hillary Clinton accepted an in-kind contribution from a foreign national, Sir
21	Elton John, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441e. See MURs 5987, 5995 & 6015 Complaints.
22	The complaints further allege that Sir Elton John, through the Committee, sent out a mas
23	email announcing the concert and soliciting support for Senator Hillary Clinton's
24	presidential campaign in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441e. Id.
25 26	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
27	On April 9, 2008, Sir Elton John performed at a solo concert on behalf of the
28	Committee at Radio City Music Hall in New York. See MUR 5995 Complaint,
29	Attachment 1. Before the event, the Committee drafted and sent out a mass electronic
30	mail, on behalf of Elton John, announcing the concert and soliciting support for the
31	Committee. Id. Ultimately, the concert raised more than \$2.5 million (from the sale of
32	5,000 tickets) for the Committee. See MUR 5995 Complaint, Attachment 3.

1 As more fully discussed below, Elton John's artistic performance at the 2 Committee's fundraiser constitutes a volunteer service that is exempted from the 3 definition of "contribution" under the Act. Therefore, the Committee has not received an 4 in-kind contribution from a foreign national as alleged in the complaints. In addition, there is no information to suggest that the electronic mail communication distributed by 5 the Committee, using Elton John's name and likeness, to announce the concert and solicit 6 support for Senator Clinton constitutes participation in the decision-making process of 7 the Committee on the part of Elton John in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441e. 8

## A. Concert Performance

It is unlawful for a foreign national, directly or indirectly, to make a contribution 10 or donation of money or other thing of value, or make an expenditure in connection with 11 12 a Federal, State, or local election. 2 U.S.C. § 441e. It is also unlawful for a person to 13 solicit, accept, or receive a contribution or donation from a foreign national. Id. A "foreign national" is an individual who is not a citizen of the United States or a national 14 of the United States and who is not lawfully admitted for permanent residence. Id. The 15 term "individual" has been interpreted by the Commission to include foreign nationals. 16 17 See Explanation and Justification for Contribution Limitations and Prohibitions, 67 Fed. Reg. 69946 (Nov. 19, 2002). The term "contribution" does not include the value of 18 services provided without compensation by any individual who volunteers on behalf of a 19 candidate or political committee. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(B)(i); and 11 C.F.R. § 100.74 20 (the so-called "volunteer services exemption"). Because Elton John appears to have 21 22 provided uncompensated services to the Committee in a volunteer capacity, we conclude that his concert performance meets the criteria for the volunteer services exemption and, 23

therefore, does not constitute a contribution by a foreign national in violation of 2 U.S.C.

441e.

The complaints assert that Advisory Opinion 1981-51 (Metzenbaum) supports the conclusion that Elton John's artistic performance does not meet the criteria for the exemption and thus constitutes an in-kind contribution under the Act and a violation of Section 441e. We agree with the responses to the complaints, however, that this advisory opinion is distinguishable and that other advisory opinions support the conclusion that the exemption applies here.

Advisory Opinion 1981-51 concerned a campaign committee that planned to have an artist, who was a foreign national, create original artwork and allow the committee to reproduce, at its own cost, a limited edition of the original artwork for fundraising purposes. See Advisory Opinion 1981-51. The committee asked whether this proposed activity would constitute a prohibited contribution or whether it would be permitted under the volunteer services exemption, and the Commission concluded that the activity would constitute a prohibited contribution. Id. In a brief opinion, the Commission explained that, under Section 441e, a foreign national may not donate his volunteer services for purposes of providing original artwork for the committee's use in fundraising and that, because of this conclusion, the Commission did not reach the issue of whether the volunteer services exemption applied to the proposed activity. Id.

A few years later, in Advisory Opinion 1987-25 (Otaola), the Commission considered whether a foreign national could work, without any compensation, as a volunteer for a 1988 presidential campaign and concluded that the proposed activity would not violate Section 441e because it would fall within the volunteer services

1 exemption and, therefore, would not constitute a contribution by the foreign national. In effect, unlike in Advisory Opinion 1981-51, the Commission considered whether the 2 exemption applied before determining whether the activity would violate Section 441e. 3 In support of its conclusion that the exemption applied, the Commission cited similar 5 advisory opinions that did not involve foreign nationals but nevertheless concluded that volunteering for campaigns is the type of uncompensated volunteer service that is 6 7 specifically exempted from the definition of "contribution." See Advisory Opinion 8 1987-25; see also Advisory Opinion 1984-43 (Brunswick) (donation of corporate officer's volunteer services to appear in a campaign advertisement not considered a 9 contribution); Advisory Opinion 1982-31(Koenig) (a student may volunteer 10 uncompensated services to a campaign without making a contribution). The opinion also 11 12 noted that the Commission considered the extent to which this conclusion conflicts with 13 Advisory Opinion 1981-51 and declined by a vote of 2-4 to supersede or overrule the opinion. Id. 14 More recently, the Commission has cited to Advisory Opinion 1987-25 in 15 concluding in two subsequent advisory opinions that volunteer services by foreign 16 17 nationals would not constitute prohibited contributions, including one opinion that 18 contemplated fundraising by a foreign national. See Advisory Opinion 2004-26 (Weller) (campaign-related activities by a foreign national without compensation, including 19 soliciting contributions and support for a federal candidate, would not constitute a 20 prohibited contribution); Advisory Opinion 2007-22 (Hurysz) (campaign-related 21

activities by foreign nationals, including "lit drops, door to door canvassing, handing out

literature at transit stations, telephone banking, and get out the vote" activities, would not constitute prohibited contributions).

In addition, there is a distinguishing factor between the proposed activity in 3 Advisory Opinion 1981-51 and the proposed activities in the subsequent advisory opinions in that the former concerned the donation of a tangible good (original artwork 5 and the right to reproduce it), whereas the latter concerned only donations of a service. In 6 7 the present matter, Elton John's uncompensated concert performance would constitute 8 the donation of service, not a tangible good, and is, therefore, significantly different from 9 the activity considered in Advisory Opinion 1981-51. Further, the conclusion that the 10 concert performance falls within the purview of the volunteer services exemption is 11 consistent with Advisory Opinion 2007-08 (King) in which the Commission recently concluded that uncompensated performances by individuals in the entertainment industry 12 would be exempt from the definition of "contribution" as long as the performers provided 13 the services in their individual capacities and all costs associated with the performances 14 15 themselves would be paid for by the federal candidate committee or party committee. 16 See Advisory Opinion 2007-08. In this matter, Elton John appears to have donated his own personal services to the Committee, and the Committee paid for all the costs 17 associated with the production of the concert event. See Committee Response. 18 Attachment A. 19 Specifically, with respect to the costs, the Committee paid \$275,695 of the total 20 21 \$278,328.70 in expenses submitted by Elton John prior to his concert performance on April 9, 2008. See Committee Response, Attachment A. These payments (\$275,695) 22 were made by the Committee between March 17th and April 8, 2008, of which the 23

1	majority were for the deposit for the venue rental (\$50,000), and costs associated with the
2	actual concert including building services, stage labor, security, wardrobe, printing,
3	equipment, sound system and license fees, etc. (\$138,211.16). Id. The remaining
4	invoices, documented in the Committee's response, were paid on April 9th, April 24th,
5	and May 9, 2008, totaling \$8,528.00. Id.
6	The Committee submitted a letter, dated August 14, 2008, supplementing its
7	earlier response. See Supplemental Letter dated August 14, 2008. In the letter, the
8	Committee states that it paid two invoices, totaling \$48,207.25, not previously submitted
9	by Elton John in connection with the concert for expenses such as airline travel, hotel
10	incidentals, per diems and ground transportation. Id. The letter attaches a copy of the
11	two invoices and the payment check. Id. According to the documentation, the additional
12	expenses were submitted to the Committee on June 12, 2008, after the complaints were
13	filed and approximately 75 days after the concert. Id. Nevertheless, these expenses were
14	paid immediately, approximately 75 days after the concert, and the vast majority of the
15	expenses were paid before the concert.
16	Accordingly, the Commission concluded that the artistic performance donated by
17	Elton John, a foreign national, in connection with the Committee's fundraising concert
18	does not constitute an in-kind contribution to Senator Clinton or her Committee in
19	violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441e but rather is the type of volunteer activity specifically
20	exempted from the Act.
21	
22	

## B. Alleged Participation in Decision-Making

2 Commission regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. § 441e prohibit foreign nationals 3 from participating in the decisions of any person involving election-related activities. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i). Such participation in decisions includes directing, dictating, 4 5 controlling, or directly or indirectly participating "in the decision-making process of any person, such as a corporation, labor organization, political committee, or political 6 organization with regard to such person's Federal or non-Federal election-related 7 activities, such as decisions concerning the making of contributions, donations, 8 expenditures, or disbursements in connection with elections for any Federal, State, or 9 local office or decisions concerning the administration of a political committee." Id. This 10 11 broad prohibition encompasses foreign national involvement in the management of any 12 political committee, and its decisions regarding its receipts and disbursements in 13 connection with Federal and non-Federal elections. See Explanation and Justification for 14 Regulations on Contribution Limitations and Prohibitions, 67 Fed. Reg. 69946 (Nov. 19, 2002). 15 The Committee's electronic mail is the only information that the complaints in 16 MURs 5987 and 5995 provide as support for the allegation that Elton John participated in 17 the decision-making process of the Committee in connection with the fundraising 18 concert. The Committee states that it was responsible for drafting the language contained 19 in the electronic mail as well as its mass distribution. See Committee Responses. Elton 20 John admits to being involved only by allowing the direct and indirect use of his likeness 21 and name with the Committee's electronic mail but asserts that this does not amount to 22

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the type of decision-making envisioned by the regulations. See Elton John Response at 2;

see also 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i).

Elton John's limited participation in the direct and indirect use of his likeness and name in the Committee's electronic mail does not constitute participation in the decisionmaking process of the Committee. In fact, the pertinent regulation speaks of decisions concerning the making of contributions, donations, expenditures, or disbursements in connection with elections for any Federal, State, or local office or decisions concerning the administration of a political committee. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i). The Commission considered the applicability of Section 110.20(i) of the regulations in Advisory Opinion 2004-26 (Weller). Weller involved the question of whether the foreign national fiancée (Rios Sosa) of a candidate could engage in activities such as: attending committee events, participating in said events by speaking or soliciting funds, participating in meetings regarding events or political strategy or accompany the candidate to fundraising and campaign events of other political committees. See Advisory Opinion 2004-26. The Commission concluded that, based upon Section 110.20(i), Ms. Rios Sosa, as an uncompensated volunteer, could attend committee events, solicit funds from persons who are not foreign nationals, and give speeches at committee events. Id. at 3. However, while it allowed Ms. Rios Sosa to attend committee meetings regarding committee events or political strategy, the Commission concluded that she could not be involved in the management of the committees. Id. at 3.

Advisory Opinion 2004-26 is consistent with our conclusion that Elton John, as a foreign national, is allowed to provide uncompensated volunteer service to the Committee, including soliciting contributions from those who are not foreign nationals as

1	long as he is not involved in the decision-making process of the Committee. See also
2	Explanation and Justification for Regulations on Contribution Limitations and
3	Prohibitions, 67 Fed. Reg. 69946 (Nov. 19, 2002). In the present matter, there is no
4	information to suggest that Elton John had any involvement in the decision-making
5	process of the Committee in connection with the making of contributions, donations,
6	expenditures, or disbursements, as envisioned by 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i). Therefore, we
7	recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe that Elton John violated
8	2 U.S.C. § 441e by participating in the decision-making process of the Committee.
9	Accordingly, the Commission found no reason to believe that Senator Clinton and
10	Hillary Clinton for President and Shelly Moskwa, in her official capacity as treasurer,
11	violated 2 U.S.C. § 441e of the Act with respect to these matters.

1 2	
3	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
4	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
6	
7 8	MURs 5987, 5995 & 6015
9 10	
11 12	RESPONDENT: Sir Elton John
13 14	I. INTRODUCTION
15 16	The complaints in these matters involve allegations that Hillary Clinton for
17	President and Shelly Moskwa, in her official capacity as treasurer, ("the Committee"),
18	and Senator Hillary Clinton accepted an in-kind contribution from a foreign national, Sir
19	Elton John, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441e. See MURs 5987, 5995 & 6015 Complaints.
20	The complaints further allege that Sir Elton John, through the Committee, sent out a mass
21	email announcing the concert and soliciting support for Senator Hillary Clinton's
22	presidential campaign in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441e. Id.
23	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
24	On April 9, 2008, Sir Elton John performed at a solo concert on behalf of the
25	Committee at Radio City Music Hall in New York. See MUR 5995 Complaint,
26	Attachment 1. Before the event, the Committee drafted and sent out a mass electronic
27	mail, on behalf of Elton John, announcing the concert and soliciting support for the
28	Committee. Id. Ultimately, the concert raised more than \$2.5 million (from the sale of
<b>2</b> 0	5.000 tickets) for the Committee. See MUR 5995 Complaint. Attachment 3.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

As more fully discussed below, Elton John's artistic performance at the

- 2 Committee's fundraiser constitutes a volunteer service that is exempted from the
- 3 definition of "contribution" under the Act. Therefore, the Committee has not received an
- 4 in-kind contribution from a foreign national as alleged in the complaints. In addition,
- 5 there is no information to suggest that the electronic mail communication distributed by
- the Committee, using Elton John's name and likeness, to announce the concert and solicit
- 7 support for Senator Clinton constitutes participation in the decision-making process of
- the Committee on the part of Elton John in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441e.

## A. Concert Performance

It is unlawful for a foreign national, directly or indirectly, to make a contribution or donation of money or other thing of value, or make an expenditure in connection with a Federal, State, or local election. 2 U.S.C. § 441e. It is also unlawful for a person to solicit, accept, or receive a contribution or donation from a foreign national. Id. A "foreign national" is an individual who is not a citizen of the United States or a national of the United States and who is not lawfully admitted for permanent residence. Id. The term "individual" has been interpreted by the Commission to include foreign nationals.

See Explanation and Justification for Contribution Limitations and Prohibitions, 67 Fed. Reg. 69946 (Nov. 19, 2002). The term "contribution" does not include the value of services provided without compensation by any individual who volunteers on behalf of a candidate or political committee. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(B)(i); and 11 C.F.R. § 100.74 (the so-called "volunteer services exemption"). Because Elton John appears to have provided uncompensated services to the Committee in a volunteer capacity, we conclude that his concert performance meets the criteria for the volunteer services exemption and,

therefore, does not constitute a contribution by a foreign national in violation of 2 U.S.C.

441e.

The complaints assert that Advisory Opinion 1981-51 (Metzenbaum) supports the conclusion that Elton John's artistic performance does not meet the criteria for the exemption and thus constitutes an in-kind contribution under the Act and a violation of Section 441e. We agree with the responses to the complaints, however, that this advisory opinion is distinguishable and that other advisory opinions support the conclusion that the exemption applies here.

Advisory Opinion 1981-51 concerned a campaign committee that planned to have an artist, who was a foreign national, create original artwork and allow the committee to reproduce, at its own cost, a limited edition of the original artwork for fundraising purposes. See Advisory Opinion 1981-51. The committee asked whether this proposed activity would constitute a prohibited contribution or whether it would be permitted under the volunteer services exemption, and the Commission concluded that the activity would constitute a prohibited contribution. Id. In a brief opinion, the Commission explained that, under Section 441e, a foreign national may not donate his volunteer services for purposes of providing original artwork for the committee's use in fundraising and that, because of this conclusion, the Commission did not reach the issue of whether the volunteer services exemption applied to the proposed activity. Id.

A few years later, in Advisory Opinion 1987-25 (Otaola), the Commission considered whether a foreign national could work, without any compensation, as a volunteer for a 1988 presidential campaign and concluded that the proposed activity would not violate Section 441e because it would fall within the volunteer services

contributions).

exemption and, therefore, would not constitute a contribution by the foreign national. In effect, unlike in Advisory Opinion 1981-51, the Commission considered whether the 2 exemption applied before determining whether the activity would violate Section 441e. 3 In support of its conclusion that the exemption applied, the Commission cited similar advisory opinions that did not involve foreign nationals but nevertheless concluded that 5 volunteering for campaigns is the type of uncompensated volunteer service that is specifically exempted from the definition of "contribution." See Advisory Opinion 1987-7 25; see also Advisory Opinion 1984-43 (Brunswick) (donation of corporate officer's 8 volunteer services to appear in a campaign advertisement not considered a contribution); 9 Advisory Opinion 1982-31(Koenig) (a student may volunteer uncompensated services to 10 a campaign without making a contribution). The opinion also noted that the Commission 11 considered the extent to which this conclusion conflicts with Advisory Opinion 1981-51 12 and declined by a vote of 2-4 to supersede or overrule the opinion. Id. 13 14 The Commission has cited to Advisory Opinion 1987-25 in concluding in two subsequent advisory opinions that volunteer services by foreign nationals would not 15 constitute prohibited contributions, including one opinion that contemplated fundraising 16 by a foreign national. See Advisory Opinion 2004-26 (Weller) (campaign-related 17 activities by a foreign national without compensation, including soliciting contributions 18 19 and support for a federal candidate, would not constitute a prohibited contribution); Advisory Opinion 2007-22 (Hurysz) (campaign-related activities by foreign nationals, 20 including "lit drops, door to door canvassing, handing out literature at transit stations, 21 22 telephone banking, and get out the vote" activities, would not constitute prohibited

ı

In addition, there is a distinguishing factor between the proposed activity in
Advisory Opinion 1981-51 and the proposed activities in the subsequent advisory
opinions in that the former concerned the donation of a tangible good (original artwork
and the right to reproduce it), whereas the latter concerned only donations of a service. I
the present matter, Elton John's uncompensated concert performance would constitute
the donation of service, not a tangible good, and is, therefore, significantly different from
the activity considered in Advisory Opinion 1981-51. Further, the conclusion that the
concert performance falls within the purview of the volunteer services exemption is
consistent with Advisory Opinion 2007-08 (King) in which the Commission recently
concluded that uncompensated performances by individuals in the entertainment industry
would be exempt from the definition of "contribution" as long as the performers provide
the services in their individual capacities and all costs associated with the performances
themselves would be paid for by the federal candidate committee or party committee.
See Advisory Opinion 2007-08. In this matter, Elton John appears to have donated his
own personal services to the Committee, and the Committee paid for all the costs
associated with the production of the concert event. See Committee Response,
Attachment A.
Specifically, with respect to the costs, the Committee paid \$275,695 of the total
\$278,328.70 in expenses submitted by Elton John prior to his concert performance on
April 9, 2008. See Committee Response, Attachment A. These payments (\$275,695)
were made by the Committee between March 17th and April 8, 2008, of which the
majority were for the deposit for the venue rental (\$50,000), and costs associated with the

actual concert including building services, stage labor, security, wardrobe, printing,

- equipment, sound system and license fees, etc. (\$138,211.16). Id. The remaining
- 2 invoices, documented in the Committee's response, were paid on April 9th, April 24th,
- and May 9, 2008, totaling \$8,528.00. *Id.*
- The Committee submitted a letter, dated August 14, 2008, supplementing its
- 5 earlier response. See Supplemental Letter dated August 14, 2008. In the letter, the
- 6 Committee states that it paid two invoices, totaling \$48,207.25, not previously submitted
- 7 by Elton John in connection with the concert for expenses such as airline travel, hotel
- s incidentals, per diems and ground transportation. Id. The letter attaches a copy of the
- 9 two invoices and the payment check. Id. According to the documentation, the additional
- 10 expenses were submitted to the Committee on June 12, 2008, after the complaints were
- filed and approximately 75 days after the concert. Id. Nevertheless, these expenses were
- paid immediately, approximately 75 days after the concert, and the vast majority of the
- 13 expenses were paid before the concert.
- Accordingly, the Commission concluded that the artistic performance donated by
- 15 Elton John, a foreign national, in connection with the Committee's fundraising concert
- does not constitute an in-kind contribution to Senator Clinton or her Committee in
- 17 violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441e but rather is the type of volunteer activity specifically
- 18 exempted from the Act.
- 19 B. Alleged Participation in Decision-Making
- 20 Commission regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. § 441e prohibit foreign nationals
- 21 from participating in the decisions of any person involving election-related activities. See
- 22 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i). Such participation in decisions includes directing, dictating,

21

22

23

see also 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i).

- controlling, or directly or indirectly participating "in the decision-making process of any 1 2 person, such as a corporation, labor organization, political committee, or political 3 organization with regard to such person's Federal or non-Federal election-related activities, such as decisions concerning the making of contributions, donations, expenditures, or disbursements in connection with elections for any Federal, State, or 5 local office or decisions concerning the administration of a political committee." Id. This broad prohibition encompasses foreign national involvement in the management of any 7 political committee, and its decisions regarding its receipts and disbursements in 8 connection with Federal and non-Federal elections. See Explanation and Justification for 9 Regulations on Contribution Limitations and Prohibitions, 67 Fed. Reg. 69946 (Nov. 19, 10 2002). 11 The Committee's electronic mail is the only information that the complaints in 12 MURs 5987 and 5995 provide as support for the allegation that Elton John participated in 13 the decision-making process of the Committee in connection with the fundraising 14 concert. The Committee states that it was responsible for drafting the language contained 15 in the electronic mail as well as its mass distribution. See Committee Responses. Elton 16 John admits to being involved only by allowing the direct and indirect use of his likeness 17 and name with the Committee's electronic mail but asserts that this does not amount to 18 the type of decision-making envisioned by the regulations. See Elton John Response at 2; 19
  - Elton John's limited participation in the direct and indirect use of his likeness and name in the Committee's electronic mail does not constitute participation in the decision-making process of the Committee. In fact, the pertinent regulation speaks of decisions

i	concerning the making of contributions, donations, expenditures, or disbursements in
2	connection with elections for any Federal, State, or local office or decisions concerning
3	the administration of a political committee. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i). The Commission
4	considered the applicability of Section 110.20(i) of the regulations in Advisory Opinion
5	2004-26 (Weller). Weller involved the question of whether the foreign national fiancée
6	(Rios Sosa) of a candidate could engage in activities such as: attending committee events,
7	participating in said events by speaking or soliciting funds, participating in meetings
8	regarding events or political strategy or accompany the candidate to fundraising and
9	campaign events of other political committees. See Advisory Opinion 2004-26. The
10	Commission concluded that, based upon Section 110.20(i), Ms. Rios Sosa, as an
11	uncompensated volunteer, could attend committee events, solicit funds from persons who
12	are not foreign nationals, and give speeches at committee events. Id. at 3. However,
13	while it allowed Ms. Rios Sosa to attend committee meetings regarding committee events
14	or political strategy, the Commission concluded that she could not be involved in the
15	management of the committees. Id. at 3.
16	Advisory Opinion 2004-26 is consistent with our conclusion that Elton John, as a
17	foreign national, is allowed to provide uncompensated volunteer service to the
18	Committee, including soliciting contributions from those who are not foreign nationals as
19	long as he is not involved in the decision-making process of the Committee. See also
20	Explanation and Justification for Regulations on Contribution Limitations and
21	Prohibitions, 67 Fed. Reg. 69946 (Nov. 19, 2002). In the present matter, there is no
22	information to suggest that Elton John had any involvement in the decision-making

process of the Committee in connection with the making of contributions, donations,

10

11

- expenditures, or disbursements, as envisioned by 11 C.F.R. § 110.20(i). Therefore, we
- 2 recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe that Elton John violated
- 3 2 U.S.C. § 441e by participating in the decision-making process of the Committee.
- 4 Accordingly, the Commission found no reason to believe that Sir Elton John
- 5 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441e of the Act with respect to these matters.